IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

SALVADOR CUELLAR-RAMIREZ,)	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.) Case No. 1	4-cv-00599-JPG-SCW
THOMAS N. FLEMING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") (Doc. 44) of Magistrate Judge Stephen C. Williams with regard to Defendant Dr. Kruse's Motion (Doc. 40) for Summary Judgment. There were no objections filed with regard to the R & R and the time for doing so has expired.

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* "If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error." *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the R & R. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety (Doc. 44) and **GRANTS** Defendant Dr. Kruse's Motion (Doc. 40) for Summary Judgment. Dr. Kruse is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

There are no remaining defendants in this matter. As such, this matter is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 7/28/2016

s/J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
DISTRICT JUDGE